

HK DISTRIBUTION MODEL FOR ATMOSPHERICS TURBULENCE CHANNEL UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF POINTING ERRORS

SMILIĆ MARKO^{1,*}, PANIĆ STEFAN¹, SAVIĆ MILAN¹, SPALEVIĆ PETAR², MILIĆ DEJAN³

¹ Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Priština, Kosovska Mitrovica, Serbia

² Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Priština, Kosovska Mitrovica, Serbia

³ Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Niš, Niš, Serbia

ABSTRACT

In this paper, HK statistical model is considered. The application of HK distribution in modeling atmospheric turbulence channel under the influence of strong and weak turbulences and all irradiance fluctuations are discussed. Expression for atmospheric turbulence channel model, pointing error models and path loss are given. Probability density functions (PDF) for both pointing error models (zero boresight and nonzero boresight) are calculated and graphically represented. Also, average bit-error-rate (BER) for zero boresight pointing error and nonzero boresight pointing error are calculated and graphically represented. Closed form expression for PDF and BER are given. Impact of zero boresight pointing error and nonzero boresight pointing error on transmission over atmospheric turbulence channel in FSO system is explained.

Keywords. HK distribution model, FSO system, BER, PDF, Pointing error model.

INTRODUCTION

In last few years, atmospheric optical communications have become a subject of large interest. The reasons for these interests are simple because FSO system provide high security, high transmission capacity, low power and low cost characteristics in comparison with classical radio communications technologies (Heatley et al. 1998; Jurado-Navas et al. 2012). For all of these advantages, FSO systems are the subject of bigger investigations and implementations. Atmospheric turbulence causes fluctuations in both the intensity and the phase of the received signal due to variations in the refractive index along the propagation path (Karp et al. 1988).

Many statistical models have been proposed to describe this fluctuation in both weak and strong fading regimes (Al-Habash et al. 2001). General Malaga distribution (Jurado-Navas et al. 2011) can be reduced to other distribution models such as Gamma-Gamma distribution model (Heatley et al. 1998), K distribution model (Jakerman 1980), Lognormal distribution model (Zhu & Kahn 2002), HK distribution model (Destrepes et al. 2013), Rice-Nakagami distribution model (Tsiftsis et al. 2009). In addition to the turbulence, there are other scenario that affect the transmission link between transmitter and receiver such as building sway and other constructions in urban areas. Weather conditions also affect on the quality and speed of transmission link (Andrews & Phillips 1998). All of these lead to irradiance, misalignment (pointing error) between transmitter and receiver, scintillation and path loss. These scenarios affect on quality and speed of transmission link and channel capacity.

In this paper, we considered influence of zero boresight pointing error and nonzero pointing error on transmission link over atmospheric channel under the strong and weak turbulences.

In addition to atmospheric channel model, we have presented pointing error models. Also, closed form expression for PDF and BER for HK model under the influence of zero bore-

sight pointing error and nonzero boresight pointing error are calculated and graphically represented.

SYSTEM MODEL

Laser beams propagate along a horizontal path through a turbulence channel governed by an HK distribution in the presence of pointing errors. The received photocurrent signal is related to the incident optical power by the detector responsivity R . It is assumed that the receiver integrates the photocurrent for each bit period and removes any constant bias due to background illumination (Farid & Hranilovic 2007). The received signal suffers from a fluctuation in signal intensity due to atmospheric turbulence and misalignment, as well as additive noise, and can be well modeled as:

$$y = I(t)RP_T + n \quad (1)$$

where P_T is the transmitted intensity, $I(t)$ is the channel state, y is the resulting electrical signal, and n is signal-independent additive white Gaussian noise with variance.

The channel state $I(t)$ models the random attenuation of the propagation channel. In our model, $I(t)$ arises due to three factors: path loss $I_l(t)$, geometric spread and pointing errors $I_p(t)$, and atmospheric turbulence $I_a(t)$. The channel state can be formulated as:

$$I(t) = I_a(t)I_p(t)I_l(t) \quad (2)$$

The HK distribution model can be obtained as a special case of Malaga distribution model. Malaga distribution model is given in (Tsiftsis et al. 2009) as:

$$f_{I_a}(I_a) = A \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} a_k I_a^{\frac{\alpha+k}{2}-1} K_{\alpha-k} \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha \beta I_a}{\gamma \beta + \Omega}} \right) \quad (3)$$

* Corresponding author: marko.smilic@pr.ac.rs

For Malaga distribution model is valid $I = |U_L + U_S^C + U_S^G| e^{2\chi} = YX$ where are $X = e^{2\chi}$ and $Y = |U_L + U_S^C + U_S^G|$ what is explained in (Jurado-Navas et al. 2012). Setting by $\rho = 0$, $X = \gamma$ and $Var[G] = 0$ we obtained HK distribution model where are $\gamma = 2b_0(1 - \rho)$ and $\Omega' = \Omega + \rho 2b_0 + 2\sqrt{2b_0\rho\Omega}\cos(\phi_L - \phi_C)$ and $K_\nu(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and ν order. Using this conditions, we find that the HK distribution model is given:

$$f_{I_a}(I_a) = A \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} a_k I_a^{\frac{\alpha+k}{2}-1} K_{\alpha-k} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta I_a}{2b_0\beta + \Omega}} \right) \quad (4)$$

where

$$A \triangleq \frac{2\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{(2b_0)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{2b_0\beta}{2b_0\beta + \Omega} \right)^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad (5)$$

$$a_k \triangleq \left(\frac{\beta-1}{k-1} \right) \frac{(2b_0\beta + \Omega)^{1-\frac{k}{2}}}{\Gamma(k)} \left(\frac{\Omega}{2b_0} \right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \quad (6)$$

We have already explained what occurs due to the pointing errors. We consider two cases. In the first case, we consider zero boresight pointing error. Zero boresight pointing error is given:

$$f_{I_p}(I_p) = \frac{g^2}{A_0^{g^2}} I_p^{g^2-1}, 0 \leq I_p \leq A_0 \quad (7)$$

where $g = \omega_{zeq}/2\sigma_s$ is the ratio between the equivalent beam radius at the receiver ω_{zeq} and the pointing error displacement standard deviation at the receiver σ_s . $A_0 = (erf(v))^2$ is the fraction of the collected power where $v = \sqrt{\pi}a/\sqrt{2}\omega_z$ with $erf(\cdot)$ denoting the error function, whereas the square of the equivalent beam width is given by:

$$\omega_{zeq}^2 = \omega_z^2 \frac{\sqrt{\pi}erf(v)}{2ve^{-v^2}} \quad (8)$$

In the second case, we consider nonzero boresight pointing error. Nonzero boresight pointing error is given:

$$f_{I_p}(I_p) = \frac{g^2 e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\sigma_s^2}}}{A_0^{g^2}} I_p^{g^2-1} \times I_0 \left(\frac{s}{\sigma_s^2} \sqrt{\frac{-\omega_{zeq}^2 \ln\left(\frac{I_p}{A_0}\right)}{2}} \right) \quad (9)$$

where s is the boresight displacement, σ_s^2 is the jitter variance at the receiver, $I_0(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind with order zero.

I_l denotes the atmospheric attenuation, which can be described by the exponential Beers–Lambert Law as:

$$I_l(z) = e^{-\sigma z} \quad (10)$$

where where z denotes the propagation distance and σ is the attenuation coefficient.

After defining both pointing error models, we can calculate the probability density functions. PDF is obtained by calculating

the mixture of the two distributions presented above in equations Eq. (4) and Eq. (7) or equations Eq. (4) and Eq. (9).

$$f_I(I) = \int_0^\infty f_{I|I_a}(I|I_a) f_{I_a}(I_a) dI_a \quad (11)$$

where $f_{I|I_a}(I|I_a)$ is the conditional probability given a turbulence state, I_a , and it is expressed as:

$$f_{I|I_a}(I|I_a) = \frac{g^2}{A_0^{g^2} I_a} \left(\frac{I}{I_a} \right)^{g^2-1}, 0 \leq I \leq A_0 I_a \quad (12)$$

for zero boresight pointing error and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{I|I_a}(I|I_a) &= \frac{g^2 e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\sigma_s^2}}}{A_0^{g^2} I_a I_l} \left(\frac{I}{I_a I_l} \right)^{g^2-1} \\ &\times I_0 \left(\frac{s}{\sigma_s^2} \sqrt{\frac{-\omega_{zeq}^2 \ln\left(\frac{I}{A_0 I_a I_l}\right)}{2}} \right), \\ &0 \leq I \leq A_0 I_a I_l \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for nonzero boresight pointing error.

Substituting expression Eq. (12) in Eq. (11) we get expression for PDF for zero boresight pointing error which is represented as:

$$\begin{aligned} f_I(I) &= \frac{g^2 A}{A_0^{g^2}} I^{g^2-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} a_k \\ &\times \int_{\frac{I}{A_0}}^\infty I_a^{\frac{\alpha+k}{2}-1-g^2} K_{\alpha-k} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta I_a}{2b_0\beta + \Omega}} \right) dI_a \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

After solving integral from equation Eq. (14) according to (Wolfram Research 2016) where the modified Bessel function of the second kind, $K_\nu(\cdot)$ can be expressed as a special case of the Meijer G function, given by the following relationship (Prudnikov et al. 2003) we obtained closed form expression for PDF for zero boresight pointing error.

$$\begin{aligned} f_I(I) &= \frac{g^2 A}{2} I^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} a_k \left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{2b_0\beta + \Omega} \right)^{-\frac{\alpha+k}{2}} \\ &\times G_{1,3}^{3,0} \left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{2b_0\beta + \Omega} \frac{I}{A_0} \middle| \frac{g^2 + 1}{g^2}, \alpha, k \right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Substituting expression Eq. (13) in Eq. (11) we get expression for PDF for nonzero boresight pointing error which is represented as:

$$\begin{aligned} f_I(I) &= \frac{g^2 A e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\sigma_s^2}}}{(A_0 I_l)^{g^2}} I^{g^2-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} a_k \\ &\times \int_{\frac{I}{A_0 I_l}}^\infty I_a^{\frac{\alpha+k}{2}-1-g^2} K_{\alpha-k} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta I_a}{2b_0\beta + \Omega}} \right) \\ &\times I_0 \left(\frac{s}{\sigma_s^2} \sqrt{\frac{-\omega_{zeq}^2}{2} \ln\left(\frac{I}{A_0 I_a I_l}\right)} \right) dI_a \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

After solving integral from equation Eq. (16) we obtained closed form expression for PDF for nonzero boresight pointing error.

$$\begin{aligned}
f_I(I) &= \frac{2\pi g^2 A e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\sigma_s^2}}}{\omega_{zeq}^2} I^{g^2-1} \\
&\times \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \frac{a_k I^{\frac{\alpha+k}{2}-1}}{(A_0 I)^{\frac{\alpha+k}{2}} \sin(\pi(\alpha-k))} \\
&\times \sum_{p=0}^P \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha\beta I}{(2b_0\beta+\Omega)A_0 I} \right)^{p-\frac{\alpha-k}{2}}}{\Gamma(p-(\alpha-k)+1) p!} \right. \\
&\times \left(-\frac{\omega_{zeq}^2}{4(p+k-g^2)} e^{-\frac{\omega_{zeq}^2 s^2}{8(p+k-g^2)\sigma_s^4}} \right) \\
&- \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha\beta I}{(2b_0\beta+\Omega)A_0 I} \right)^{p+\frac{\alpha-k}{2}}}{\Gamma(p+(\alpha-k)+1) p!} \\
&\times \left. \left(-\frac{\omega_{zeq}^2}{4(p+\alpha-g^2)} e^{-\frac{\omega_{zeq}^2 s^2}{8(p+\alpha-g^2)\sigma_s^4}} \right) \right\} \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

The study of the Average BER of the HK probability distribution in the presence of misalignment fading is considered. First of all, we have defined expression for ABER over BFSK modulation format (Panić et al. 2013; Stefanovic et al. 2015; Golubovic et al. 2014; Cvetkovic et al. 2013).

$$P_e = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{P_T R}{\sigma_N} I\right) f_I(I) \quad (18)$$

where $\operatorname{erfc}(\cdot)$ is related to the complementary error function. If we represented $\operatorname{erfc}(\cdot)$ as special case of MeijerG function according to (Prudnikov et al. 2003) and substituting in Eq. (18) along with expression Eq. (15), integral from Eq. (18) can be solved from relation (Wolfram Research 2016). Closed form expression for zero boresight pointing error for ABER is given:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_e &= \frac{2^\alpha g^2 A \left(\frac{2b_0\beta+\Omega}{\alpha\beta} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{32\pi\sqrt{\pi}} \\
&\times \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} 2^k \left(\frac{2b_0\beta+\Omega}{\alpha\beta} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} a_k \\
&\times G_{7,4}^{2,6} \left(\frac{8R^2 P_T^2 A_0^2}{\sigma_N^2} \left(\frac{2b_0\beta+\Omega}{\alpha\beta} \right)^2 \right) \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1-g^2}{2}, \frac{2-g^2}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}, \frac{2-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-k}{2}, \frac{2-k}{2}, 1 \\ 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{-g^2}{2}, \frac{1-g^2}{2} \end{matrix} \right) \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

NUMERICAL RESULTS

Capitalizing on presented expressions we have efficiently evaluated and graphically presented ABER over BFSK modulation format for both zero and non-zero boresight pointing scenarios in the function of FSO propagation link parameters.

It is visible from Fig. (1) that better system performances are obtained for higher values of α parameter, i.e. for less severe scattering of FSO waves. α is a positive parameter related to the effective number of large-scale cells of the scattering process.




Figure. 1. ABER for Zero boresight pointing case.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, two analytical closed-form representations for the ABER performance of an AOC system operating over a generalized turbulence in the presence of pointing errors are derived. Also, two analytical closed-form representations for the PDF are derived.

References

- Al-Habash, M. A., Andrews, L. C., & Philips, R. L. 2001, Mathematical model for the irradiance probability density function of a laser beam propagating through turbulent media, *Optical Engineering*, 40 (6), 1554–1562
- Andrews, L. C. & Phillips, R. L. 1998, *Laser Beam Propagation Through Random Media* (SPIE)
- Cvetkovic, A., Milic, D., & Stefanovic, M. 2013, Outage Performance of Dual-Hop AF Relay Channels with CoChannel Interferences over Rayleigh Fading, *Wireless Personal Communications*, 70 (4), 1993–2006
- Destremes, F., Poree, J., & Cloutier, G. 2013, Estimation Method of the Homodyned K-Distribution Based on the Mean Intensity and Two Log-Moments, *SIAM Journal Imaging Sciences*, 6 (3), 1499–1530
- Farid, A. A. & Hranilovic, S. 2007, Outage capacity optimization for free-space optical links with pointing errors, *Journal Lightwave Technologies*, 25, 1702–1710
- Golubovic, A., Sekulovic, N., Stefanovic, M., Milic, D., & Temelkovski, I. 2014, Performance Analysis of DualBranch Selection Diversity Receiver that Uses Desired Signal Algorithm in Correlated Weibull Fading Environment, *Technical Gazette*, 21 (5), 953–957
- Heatley, D. J., Wisely, D. R., Neild, I., & Cochrane, P. 1998, *Optical wireless: The story so far*, *IEEE Communication Magazine*, 36 (12), 72–82
- Jakeman, E. 1980, On the statistics of K-distributed noise, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 13 (1), 31–48
- Jurado-Navas, A., Garrido-Balsells, J. M., Paris, J. F., CastilloVázquez, M., & Puerta-Notario, A. 2012, Impact of pointing errors on the performance of generalized atmospheric optical channels, *Optics Express*, 20 (11), 12550–12562
- Jurado-Navas, A., Garrido-Balsells, J. M., Paris, J. F., & Puerta-Notario, A. 2011, A unifying statistical model for atmospheric optical scintillation, *Numerical Simulations of Physical and Engineering Processes*, 181–206

- Karp, S., Gagliardi, R., Moran, S., & Stotts, L. 1988, Optical Channels (New York: Plenum)
- Panić, S., Stefanović, M., Anastasov, J., & Spalević, P. 2013, Fading and Interference Mitigation in Wireless Communications (CRC Press)
- Prudnikov, A. P., Brychkov, Y. A., & Marichev, O. I. 2003, Integral and Series, 2nd edition (Moskva: Fizmatlit)
- Stefanovic, M., Anastasov, J., Cvetković, A., & Djordjević, G. 2015, Outage performance of dual-hop relaying systems over extended generalized-K fading channels, Wireless Communications & Mobile Computing, 15 (18), 2141–2149
- Tsiftsis, T. A., Sandalidis, H. G., Karagiannidis, G. K., & Uysal, M. 2009, Optical Wireless Links with Spatial Diversity over Strong Atmospheric Turbulence Channels, IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, 8, 951–957
- Wolfram Research, I. 2016, The Wolfram Functions Site
- Zhu, X. & Kahn, J. M. 2002, Free-space optical communication through atmospheric turbulence channels, IEEE Transactions on Communication, 50 (8), 1293–1300